

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

SAMAR AKINS, c/o Michael Akins  
S-YS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL WILSON, and KEITH  
MORRIS,

Defendants.

4:14CV3153

**MEMORANDUM  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff Samar Akins, a non-prisoner, filed a Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. (Filing No. [2](#).) Upon review of Plaintiff's Motion, the court finds that Plaintiff is financially eligible to proceed in forma pauperis.

A word of caution to Plaintiff: Plaintiff has filed eight civil actions in this court since January of 2014. He has filed three civil actions this week alone. (*See Akins v. Albers*, 4:14CV3150 (filed July 29, 2014); *Akins v. Officer Firell*, 4:14CV3151 (filed July 29, 2014); *Akins v. Wilson*, 4:14CV3153 (filed July 30, 2014).) The right of access to the courts is not absolute or unconditional. *See In re Winslow*, 17 F.3d 314, 315 (10th Cir. 1994). Indeed, this court has the authority to enjoin a litigant who abuses the court system through vexatious and harassing litigation. *See, e.g., Tripathi v. Beaman*, 878 F.2d 351, 352 (10th Cir. 1989). Thus, the court cautions Plaintiff of the rule that his right of access to the courts is not absolute and that he will not be allowed to abuse the legal system. If the court determines at some later time that Plaintiff has abused the legal system, the court may impose sanctions and filing restrictions.

IT IS ORDERED that leave to proceed in forma pauperis is provisionally granted, and the Complaint shall be filed without payment of fees. However, the court

cautions Plaintiff that abusing the privilege to proceed in forma pauperis could result in appropriate sanctions.

DATED this 31<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*

Senior United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.